

# Implicit-Key Attack on the RSA Cryptosystem

Mengce Zheng Honggang Hu

University of Science and Technology of China

August 11, 2019

# Outline

- 1 Background
  - Research Problem
  - Lattice-Based Method
- 2 Proposed Attack
  - Implicit-Key Attack
  - Experimental Results
- 3 Conclusion

# The RSA Cryptosystem

Standard RSA instance consists of  $N$ ,  $e$ ,  $d$ ,  $\varphi(N)$  parameters

- $N = pq$  with two large prime factors of the same bit-size
- Public and private keys  $(e, d)$  satisfy  $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(N)}$
- Euler's totient function  $\varphi(N) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$
- Encryption is  $c = m^e \pmod{N}$  and decryption is  $c^d \pmod{N}$

The key equation of the RSA cryptosystem

- $ed = k(N + 1 - p - q) + 1$  for a positive integer  $k$
- Many attacks have been proposed to solve the key equation

# Existing Attacks

## Partial key exposure attack @ ASIACRYPT 1998

- Given a small fraction of the **private key bits**
- $d = \bar{d} + d_0$  with known MSBs  $\bar{d}$  and unknown LSBs  $d_0$
- The goal is to reconstruct the entire private key  $d$

## Implicit factorization problem @ PKC 2009

- Given an oracle providing **implicit information** about the primes
- $N_1 = p_1q_1$  and  $N_2 = p_2q_2$  with  $p_1, p_2$  sharing some LSBs
- The goal is to find  $q_1, q_2$  and then factor  $N_1, N_2$

# New Problem

## Implicit information about the private keys

- $(N_1, e_1, d_1)$  and  $(N_2, e_2, d_2)$  with  $N_1, N_2$  of the same bit-size
- Given the **amounts** of shared (unknown) MSBs and LSBs of  $d_1, d_2$
- The goal is to factor  $N_1$  and  $N_2$

## Consider such combined case mainly from theoretical interest

- Disclose the vulnerability of RSA in weaker attack scenario
- Investigate how to further extend existing attacks
- RSA instances may be generated with imperfect randomness

# Lattice-Based Method

Find roots of modular/integer equations by lattice reduction algorithm

- ① Construct **shift polynomials** sharing the common root modulo  $R$
- ② Transform coefficient vectors into a lattice basis matrix  $B$
- ③ Calculate reduced basis vectors of a  $w$ -dimensional lattice  $\mathcal{L}$
- ④ Transform derived lattice vectors into integer equations
- ⑤ Extract the common root of equations over the integers

The **crucial condition** for extracting the small roots of given equations

$$\det(\mathcal{L}) < R^w$$

# Attack Scenario

Given  $(N_1, e_1, d_1)$  and  $(N_2, e_2, d_2)$  with implicitly related keys  $d_1, d_2$

- $N_1, N_2$  are of the same bit-size denoted by  $\log_2 N$
- $e_1 = N^{\alpha_1}, e_2 = N^{\alpha_2}$  are of arbitrary bit-size
- $d_1, d_2 \approx N^\delta$  share  $\beta_1 \log_2 N$  MSBs and  $\beta_2 \log_2 N$  LSBs

Shared MSBs and LSBs  $d_{\text{MSB}}, d_{\text{LSB}}$  and different middle bits  $\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2$

- $d_1 = d_{\text{MSB}} 2^{(\delta - \beta_1) \log_2 N} + \bar{d}_1 2^{\beta_2 \log_2 N} + d_{\text{LSB}}$
- $d_2 = d_{\text{MSB}} 2^{(\delta - \beta_1) \log_2 N} + \bar{d}_2 2^{\beta_2 \log_2 N} + d_{\text{LSB}}$
- **Implicit relation:**  $d_1 - d_2 = (\bar{d}_1 - \bar{d}_2) 2^{\beta_2 \log_2 N} = (\bar{d}_1 - \bar{d}_2) N^{\beta_2}$

## Implicit-Key Attack – (1)

Apply the key equations and the implicit relation of  $d_1, d_2$

- $e_1 d_1 = k_1(N_1 + 1 - p_1 - q_1) + 1$  and  $e_2 d_2 = k_2(N_2 + 1 - p_2 - q_2) + 1$
- $e_2 e_1 d_1 - e_1 e_2 d_2 = e_1 e_2 (d_1 - d_2) = e_1 e_2 (\bar{d}_1 - \bar{d}_2) N^{\beta_2} = e_2 k_1 (N_1 + 1 - p_1 - q_1) + e_2 - e_1 k_2 (N_2 + 1 - p_2 - q_2) - e_1$

Find the root of the **integer equation** in five variables

- $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 + a_4 x_2 x_4 + a_5 x_3 x_5 + a_6$
- Known values:  $a_1 = e_1 e_2 N^{\beta_2}$ ,  $a_2 = e_2 (N_1 + 1)$ ,  $a_3 = -e_1 (N_2 + 1)$ ,  $a_4 = -e_2$ ,  $a_5 = e_1$ , and  $a_6 = e_2 - e_1$
- Unknown variables:  $x_1 = \bar{d}_2 - \bar{d}_1$ ,  $x_2 = k_1$ ,  $x_3 = k_2$ ,  $x_4 = p_1 + q_1$ , and  $x_5 = p_2 + q_2$



## Implicit-Key Attack – (2)

Figure out the **upper bounds** on unknown variables

- $X_1 = N^{\delta-\beta}$ ,  $X_2 = N^{\alpha_1+\delta-1}$ ,  $X_3 = N^{\alpha_2+\delta-1}$ ,  $X_4 = X_5 = N^{1/2}$ ,  
 $X_\infty = N^{\alpha+\delta}$  for  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and  $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$
- $R = X_\infty X_1^{s-1} X_2^{s-1} X_3^{s-1} X_4^{s-1+t} X_5^{s-1+t}$  for integers  $s$  and  $t$

Define two **monomial sets**  $S$  and  $T$  for integers  $s \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$

$$S = \bigcup_{0 \leq j_4, j_5 \leq t} \left\{ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4+j_4} x_5^{i_5+j_5} \mid x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} \text{ is a monomial of } f^{s-1} \right\}$$

$$T = \bigcup_{0 \leq j_4, j_5 \leq t} \left\{ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4+j_4} x_5^{i_5+j_5} \mid x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} \text{ is a monomial of } f^s \right\}$$

## Implicit-Key Attack – (3)

Define the **shift polynomials**  $g$  and  $g'$  according to  $S$  and  $T$

$$g : x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} f' X_1^{s-1-i_1} X_2^{s-1-i_2} X_3^{s-1-i_3} X_4^{s-1+t-i_4} X_5^{s-1+t-i_5}$$

for  $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} \in S$

$$g' : x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} R \text{ for } x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} \in T \setminus S$$

Coefficient vectors of  $g(x_i X_i)$ ,  $g'(x_i X_i)$  generate a basis matrix  $B$

- $B$  is a **square and triangular** matrix
- $\det(\mathcal{L}) = \det(B)$  is the product of diagonal elements

## Implicit-Key Attack – (4)

Apply the crucial condition  $\det(\mathcal{L}) < R^w$  in lattice-based method

- $\prod_{j=1}^5 X_j^{s_j} < X_\infty^{s_g}$  for  $s_j = \sum_{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} x_5^{i_5} \in T \setminus S} i_j$  and  $s_g = |S|$

Obtain final condition for conducting implicit-key attack ( $\tau = t/s$ )

$$\delta < \frac{(\alpha + \beta - 1)(1 + 10\tau + 20\tau^2) - 10\tau^2 - 30\tau^3}{4 + 30\tau + 40\tau^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1$$

Set the optimal value of  $\tau$  when it is the only positive root of

$$120x^4 + 180x^3 + (86 - 20\alpha - 20\beta)x^2 + (16 - 8\alpha - 8\beta)x - \alpha - \beta + 1 = 0$$

# Main Result

## Implicit-Key Attack

Let  $N_1 = p_1q_1$ ,  $N_2 = p_2q_2$  be two RSA moduli of the same bit-size, and  $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2$  be primes of the same bit-size. Let  $e_1, d_1, e_2, d_2$  satisfy  $e_1d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(N_1)}$  and  $e_2d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(N_2)}$ , such that  $e_1 = N^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $e_2 = N^{\alpha_2}$  and  $d_1, d_2 \approx N^\delta$ . Suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  share  $\beta_1 \log_2 N$  MSBs and  $\beta_2 \log_2 N$  LSBs. Then  $N_1, N_2$  can be factored in polynomial time if

$$\delta < \frac{(\alpha + \beta - 1)(1 + 10\tau + 20\tau^2) - 10\tau^2 - 30\tau^3}{4 + 30\tau + 40\tau^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1,$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$  and  $\tau$  is the only positive root of

$$120x^4 + 180x^3 + (86 - 20\alpha - 20\beta)x^2 + (16 - 8\alpha - 8\beta)x - \alpha - \beta + 1 = 0.$$

# Experimental Results

Randomly generate 1024-bit moduli and implicitly related keys

Table: The comparison of theoretical and experimental results on  $\delta$

$\log_2 N = 1024$			Dim= 6		Dim= 21		Dim= 56	
$\beta_1$	$\beta_2$	$\delta_t$	$\delta_e$	Time	$\delta_e$	Time	$\delta_e$	Time
0.043	0.043	0.271	0.259	0.004s	0.264	0.623s	0.270	47.59s
0.064	0.101	0.291	0.280	0.004s	0.286	0.621s	0.291	47.17s
0.107	0.142	0.312	0.300	0.004s	0.307	0.682s	0.311	37.23s
0.150	0.150	0.325	0.315	0.005s	0.321	0.522s	0.325	32.02s

# Conclusion

Focus on a new attack scenario concerning implicitly related keys

- Factor RSA moduli using implicit information about private keys
- Apply lattice-based method for solving integer equations
- Verify the validity of implicit-key attack by numerical experiments

Further improvements remain as future work

- More efficient lattice construction for implicit-key attack
- Similar attacks on the RSA cryptosystem in practice

**Thank You!**

**Q & A**